05-40083

Page 2

MOTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY

United States District Court		District Of	f Massachusetts
Name (under which you were convicted): Michael Boria		· .	Docket or Case No.: 4:00-cr-40024
Place of Confinement: USP Beaumont			Prisoner No.: 80269-038
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		Mova	nt (include name under which you were convicted)
	v.	Mic	chael Boria

	MOTION
1.	(a) Name and location of court that entered the judgment of conviction you are challenging:
	United States District Court for the District of
	Massachusetts
	(b) Criminal docket or case number (if you know): 4:00-cr-40024
2.	(a) Date of the judgment of conviction (if you know): March 19, 2003
	(b) Date of sentencing: March 5, 2003
3.	Length of sentence: 327 months
4.	Nature of crime (all counts): Possession of heroin with the intent to
	distribute (Counts 1, 2, 8, 9, 12); possession of meth-
	amphetamine with the intent to distribute (Counts 13, 14)
5.	(a) What was your plea? (Check one)
	(1) Not guilty (2) Guilty (3) Nolo contendere (no contest)
	(b) If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and a not guilty plea to another count
	or indictment, what did you plead guilty to and what did you plead not guilty to?
3 .	If you went to trial, what kind of trial did you have? (Check one) Jury Judge only Judge only

Page 3 7. Did you testify at a pretrial hearing, trial, or post-trial hearing? Yes 🖫 No 🗆 8. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yes 🖫 No 🗀 9. If you did appeal, answer the following: (a) Name of court: United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit (b) Docket or case number (if you know): 03-1448 (c) Result: <u>Convictions</u> affirmed (d) Date of result (if you know): March 3, 2004 (e) Citation to the case (if you know): <u>Unreported</u> (f) Grounds raised: Erroneous admission of opinion evidence and failure to conduct voir dire outside of jury's presence (g) Did you file a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court? Yes 🗅 No 😡 If "Yes," answer the following: (1) Docket or case number (if you know): (2) Result: _____ (3) Date of result (if you know): (4) Citation to the case (if you know): (5) Grounds raised: ____ 10. Other than the direct appeals listed above, have you previously filed any other motions, petitions, or applications concerning this judgment of conviction in any court? Yes 🗆 No 🖫 11. If your answer to Question 10 was "Yes," give the following information: (a) (1) Name of court: (2) Docket or case number (if you know): (3) Date of filing (if you know):

	Page
(4) Nature of the proceeding:	
(5) Grounds raised:	
(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your motion, petition, or application? Yes □ No □	
(7) Result:	
(8) Date of result (if you know):	
(b) If you filed any second motion, petition, or application, give the same information:	
(1) Name of court:	
(2) Docket or case number (if you know):	
(3) Date of filing (if you know):	
(4) Nature of the proceeding:	
(5) Grounds raised:	
	· · · ·
(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your motion, petition, or	
application? Yes □ No □	
(7) Result:	
(8) Date of result (if you know):	
(c) Did you appeal to a federal appellate court having jurisdiction over the action taken on	your
motion, petition, or application?	
(I) First petition: Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\sigma\)	
(2) Second netition: Ves D. No D.	

(d) If you did not appeal from the action on any motion, petition, or application, explain briefly why you did not:			
12. For this motion, state every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the <u>facts</u> supporting each ground.			
GROUND ONE: Ineffective assistance of counsel			
(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.): Trial counsel failed to listen to the government's tape-			
recorded evidence and subpoena known witnesses who would			
have provided testimony in support of movant's entrapment			
defense. Had counsel listened to the tape-recorded con-			
versations, he would have discovered and presented at trial			
evidence that supported the defense and corroborated the			
testimony of the witnesses who should have been subpoenaed.			
Further, there is a reasonable probability that the result of the trial would have been different had counsel properly			
prepared for trial and subpoenaed the witnesses who were			
known to him.			
b) Direct Appeal of Ground One:			
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?			
Yes 🗆 No 🥸			
(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: The claim is based on facts not of record and hence could not have been raised			
on direct appeal.			
c) Post-Conviction Proceedings:			
(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?			
Yes □ N ₀ 52			
(2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:			
Type of motion or petition:			
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:			

	Page
Docket or case number (if you know):	
Date of the court's decision:	
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?	
Yes □ No □	
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?	
Yes □ No □	
(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the a_1	ppeal?
Yes □ No □	
(6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:	
Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:	
Docket or case number (if you know):	
Date of the court's decision:	
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
(and a sep of the second openion of order) a diamage,	
	·
(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why yo	u did not appeal or
raise this issue:	
OUND TWO: Ineffective assistance of counsel	
Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that s	
rial counsel failed to object to the admission o	of hearsay
estimony from a DEA agent concerning out-of-cour	<u>rt statement</u>
y the informant which concerned movant and to te	estimony fro
ne agent which vouched for the reliability and t	
the informant. Further, the admission of this	
ejudiced movant and there is a reasonable proba	ability that
e result of the trial would have been different	had the
ry not heard the inadmissible evidence.	

Direct Appeal of Ground Two:			
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?			
Yes □ No 및			
(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: Appellate co	unsel		
was ineffective and the result of the appeal would ha	ve bee		
different had he not been.	<u>.</u>		
Post-Conviction Proceedings:			
(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?			
Yes □ No 💂	,		
(2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:			
Type of motion or petition:			
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:			
Docket or case number (if you know):			
Date of the court's decision:			
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):			
(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?			
Yes 🗆 No 🖵			
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?			
Yes □ No □			
(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?			
Yes 🖸 No 🗅			
(6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:			
Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:			
Docket or case number (if you know):			

(7) If your answer to Question (a)(4)
(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or
raise this issue:
GROUND THREE: Denial of right to have jury decide all facts
essential to punishment
(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.): The district court made factual findings at sentencing which
had the effect of increasing the base offense level under the federal sentencing guidelines beyond that which was authorized
solely by the jury's verdict. These findings included, but are
not limited to, the nature and type of the prior convictions
which triggered application of the career-offender guideline.
Had these findings not been made the
Had these findings not been made, the sentence which movant
would have received would have been substantially less than the
term that he is currently serving.
(b) Direct Appeal of Ground Three:
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? Yes No
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: The claim is based
upon an intervening clarification of the law.
c) Post-Conviction Proceedings:
(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?
Yes D No 🙀
(2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:
Type of motion or petition:
realite and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:
Docket or case number (if you know):
Date of the court's decision:

F	
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
_	
_	
(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?
	Yes 🗆 No 🗅
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?
	Yes O No O
(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?
	Yes D No D
(6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:
ľ	Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:
_	
I	Docket or case number (if you know):
Γ	Date of the court's decision:
F	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
_	
- (7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal o
	7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal o aise this issue:
	7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or aise this issue:
r - -	aise this issue:
r - -	
r 	und four:
r 	und four:
r 	und four:
r 	und four:
r 	aise this issue:
r 	und four:
r - -	und four:

Page 10 (b) Direct Appeal of Ground Four: (1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? (2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: (c) Post-Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes 🗆 No 🗅 (2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: _____ Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision: Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available): (3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application? Yes □ No □ (4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application? Yes \(\square\) No \(\square\) (5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal? Yes □ No □ (6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state: Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision: Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):

	Page 11
(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did	not appeal or
raise this issue:	
	···
3. Is there any ground in this motion that you have <u>not</u> previously presented in some	
If so, which ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for	not
presenting them: None of the claims raised here have pre	
been presented in federal court because they are b	ased upon
facts not of record; were defaulted by ineffective	assistan
of appellate counsel; or are based upon an interve	ning clar
cation of the law.	iiiig Clui
IA David have an extra control of the control of th	
4. Do you have any motion, petition, or appeal <u>now pending</u> (filed and not decided yet)	In any court
for the Judgment you are challenging? Yes U No 😾	
If "Yes," state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the ty	•
proceeding, and the issues raised.	.,,,
	<u>.</u>
	•
5. Give the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the	F=11=)
stages of the judgment you are challenging:	ionowing
(a) At preliminary hearing: N/A	·
(a) At Memiliary hearing.	
(b) At arraignment and plea: Leo T. Sorokin, Esquire, 408 Atla	
	antic
Avenue, Third Floor, Boston, Mass. 02210	
(c) At trial: William F. Fahey, Esquire, 201 Washington St	treet,
Salem, Mass. 01970	
(d) At sentencing: Timothy G. Watkins, Esquire, 408 Atlant	ic
Avenue, Third Floor, Boston, Mass. 02210	

	(e) On appeal: <u>Timothy G. Watkins, Esquire</u>			
	(f) In any post-conviction proceeding: N/A			
	(g) On appeal from any ruling against you in a post-conviction proceeding: N/A			
16.	Were you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in			
	the same court and at the same time? Yes \mathbf{Q} No \Box			
17.	Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence for the judgment that you are challenging? Yes \square No \square			
	(a) If so, give name and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future:			
	(b) Close the data the other control of the control			
	(b) Give the date the other sentence was imposed:			
	(c) Give the length of the other sentence:			
	judgment or sentence to be served in the future? Yes □ No □			

Page 12 of 13

must explain why the one-year statute of limitations as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2255 bar your motion.*	TIMELINESS OF MOTION: If your judgment of conviction became final over one year ago, you				
bar your motion.*	must explain why the one-year statute of limitations as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2255 does not				
•					

^{*} The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA") as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2255, paragraph 6, provides in part that:

A one-year period of limitation shall apply to a motion under this section. The limitation period shall run from the latest of —

⁽¹⁾ the date on which the judgment of conviction became final;

⁽²⁾ the date on which the impediment to making a motion created by governmental action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the movant was prevented from making such a motion by such governmental action;

⁽³⁾ the date on which the right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if that right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or

⁽⁴⁾ the date on which the facts supporting the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

Therefore movement and the state of	Page 14
resentencing.	ne following relief: <u>a new trial or, alter</u> natively,
or any other relief to which movant may be enti	tled.
	Mul
	Signature of Attorney (if any)
	Christopher D. Warren D.
I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penal	Doubt Street, Phila DA 10102
and that this Motion under 28 U.S.C. 8 2255 was	(215) 546–2604 ty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct s placed in the prison mailing system on
(month, date, year).	s placed in the prison mailing system on
7,5	
Executed (signed) on <u>May 26, 2005</u> (d	late).
	Midwel Parials/
	Signature of Movant
the person signing is not movant, state relations	ship to movant and explain why movant is not
Bining this motion, arroot was retain	100 by movent fee it
g und IIIIII Ene motion	and the same
movant's agent and attorney in	that regard.
IN FORMA PAUPE	RIS DECLARATION
[Insert appro	
* * *	CME sk